

system specification development during modeling

Raphael Faudou, Samares Engineering

Hamburg, 2019



pure-systems

INC**OUERY**LABS





A few words on Samares Engineering



- Research and Consultancy on Systems Engineering
 - Requirement engineering
 - Model-Based Systems Engineering
 - Co-simulation



Involved in different domains



Strong links with high schools and research institutes



Agenda



- Context and purpose of the presentation
 - One SysML model can support a lot of Systems Engineering sactivities...
 - Many views to reconcile...
 - When done, many requirements can be deduced from modeling activities...
- Demos
 - A. Identification of top-level system requirements during needs analysis
 - B. Identification of lower-level requirements during internal architecture
- Way forward
- Q&A

Modeling can support a lot of SE activities





A. Analysis and structure of needs (1)



- Many views and concepts to reconcile...
 - 1. Use Cases define system missions captured from stakeholder needs
 - 2. Operational scenarios show system interactions and expected reactions
 - 3. System context synthetizes all external functional interfaces
 - 4. Top level functions come from operational scenarios or upper level
 - 5. Operational modes provide boundaries for activation of functions



A. Analysis and structure of needs (2)



• From all those views, system requirements can be developed



to the validation of needs or elaboration of functional requirements. Any change in Sequence Diagram is immediately reflected in other views including requirements (agile approach)

DEMO 1 – Identification of top-level system requirements during analysis of needs



- 1. Creation of operational scenarios
- 2. Synthesis of all external functional interfaces
- 3. Identification of first modes and transitions
- 4. Identification of top-level system requirements

B. Functional and logical architecture (1)



1.1.Logical Components III Flight Mgt Subsystem

> Mission Mgt Subsystem Perception Subsystem

UAV Control Station

- Still many views and concepts to reconcile...
 - 1. Decompose internal functions
 - 2. Arrange sub-functions (functional architecture)
 - 3. Allocate sub-functions on sub-systems/components
 - 4. Arrange sub-systems/components (logical architecture)



We can define semantic mappings between SysML concepts to ensure consistency

B. Functional and logical architecture (2)



• From all those views, system requirements can be developed down to subsystem needs (according to logical architecture)



B. Functional and logical architecture (3)



• Thanks to the contribution of all views, system requirements improve progressively in maturity

Legend	⊟- III modes [Modes]	A Name	Text
↗ LifeCycle Allocation		84 C S LE(LMS_1 Modes UDFF	<u>UAV</u> shall be able to operate in the following exclusive modes:
	DF Not Configured . Not Configured for mi VODE_2.3 On Miss VODE_2.3 On Miss VODE_2.3 On Miss VODE_2.3 On Miss VODE_2.3 On Miss VODE_2.3 On Miss VODE_2.4 Net	85 Image: modes 86 Image: mode_2 on 86 Image: mode_2 on	AIDA_UAV is in IFON mode, then the <u>CAIDA_UAV</u> shall be able to operate in the following p-modes: <u>Configured</u> gured for mission
	2.2 2.2 2.1 1 0 2.2 2.2 2.2 2.1 1 0	87 🖂 🖂	
		88 🦯 132	
		89 E M REQ_OP_MODE_2.1 Not Configure	
	M R.EQ.OP M R.EQ.OP M R.EQ.OP M REQ.OP M REQ.OP M REQ.OP M REQ.OP	90 28 REQ_OP_Not Configured_FUNC_ • 28 Configured_FUNC_	ot Configured mode, the <u>MAIDA_UAV</u> shall perform the following <u>Not Configured</u> mission plan gure mission
REQ_FUNC_4 Load mission plan		91 E M REQ_OP_MODE_2.2 Configured for	perform the following Phot Configured Functions:
REQ_FUNC_5 Take picture REQ_FUNC_7 Store picture REQ_FUNC_8 Configure mission REQ_FUNC_9 Check UAV health	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	92 REQ_OP_Configured for mission_ • Check • Send	onfigured tions: k UAV hea "AIDA Re
REQ_FUNC_10 Return to Ground Static REQ_FUNC_11 Stabilize UAV REQ_FUNC_12 Supervise Mission	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	93	AIDA_UAV is in WOn Mission mode, then the <u>AIDA_UAV</u> shall be able to operate in the clusive sub-modes:

When allocated to modes, functions are constrained in their usage: there is a new system requirement that defines their validity with regards to system modes...

DEMO 2 – Refinement of system requirements down to subsystems and initialization of RFP



- Initial conditions: we start from functional architecture
- 1. Allocation of sub-functions on sub-systems/components and derivation of logical architecture
- 2. Allocation of functions to modes
- 3. <u>Initialize expression of needs for sub-systems /</u> <u>component (Document generated)</u>



- The road is still long...
 - Improve modes identification,
 - Complete logical architecture with control flow,
 - Address other constraints (physical, safety...)
- ... but we know we go in the right direction
 - Good feedback on current usage of plugins
- Now we need more UC to progress faster
 - Many domains and many contexts...

Decision to launch a "Live specification partnership program"





- General principles of this partnership
 - Agreement signed for a given period (6 months / 1 year / 2 years)
 - Samares Engineering provides "Live mode" plugins under NDA
 - Samares' partner provides industrial Use Cases under NDA
 - Both partners share feedback in use of plugins on industrial UC
 - Samares' partner benefits from new revisions of plugins
 - Possibility to acquire plugins or order specific improvements
- Launch planned on 18th November 2019
 - Number of partners will be limited (5 to 7, still to be refined)



Want to know more? Express your interest at

LiveSpecificationPartnership@samares-engineering.cor



Thank you for your attention Any question before the bell ?



